

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/052,553	01/23/2002	In Chul Jeong	0465-0838P-SP	5490
2292	7590 03/08/2004		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747  STINSON, FRANKIE L			RANKIE L	
	RCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1746	

DATE MAILED: 03/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>V</i> • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
	10/052,553	JEONG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	FRANKIE L. STINSON	1746				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence addres	3S			
·	DIVISSET TO EVDIDE 4 MONT	H(C) EDOM	*			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fitute, cause the application to become ABANDC	e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this commu NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	inication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08	3 January 2004.					
<u> </u>	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the me	erits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3, 4 and 8-21</u> is/are pending in th	ne application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1,3,4 and 8-21 are subject to restri	ction and/or election requirement					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a		ie Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			•			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1	.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-1	152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	an priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119	)(a)-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	gii phonty andor oo o.o.o. g 110	(4) (4) 5. (.).				
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		cation No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		•	ge			
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ist of the certified copies not rece	ived.				
	·					
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	4) ∐ Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai					
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		al Patent Application (PTO-152	2)			

Application/Control Number: 10/052,553

Art Unit: 1746

1. In view of the amendment and remarks filed January 8, 2004, the following is deemed applicable.

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: (a) the species of fig. 1, (b) the species of fig. 2, (c) the species of fig. 3, (d) the species of fig. 4, (e) the species of fig. 6 and (f) the species of fig. 7.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, for example, appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

Application/Control Number: 10/052,553

Art Unit: 1746

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANKIE L. STINSON whose telephone number is (571) 272-1308. The examiner can normally be reached M-F from 5:30 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. and some Saturdays from 5:30 a.m. to 11:30 a.m.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700 (571) 272-1700.

Application/Control Number: 10/052,553

Art Unit: 1746

Page 4

Any inquiry for missing parts of this Office Action (copies of references, pages,

forms etc.), contact the TEAM LEADER Ms. Nicol Scott (571) 272-1045.

fls

FRANKIE L. STINSON Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746